1 2 3 4 5



## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AMERICREDIT FINANCIAL SERVICE, ET AL.,

CASE NO. CV 12-9477 UA (DUTYx)

ORDER SUMMARILY REMANDING

IMPROPERLY-REMOVED ACTION

11 12

6

7

8

9

10

Plaintiffs,

VS.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

13

MARIA D. GARCIA, ET AL.,

Defendants.

The Court remands this unlawful detainer action to state court summarily because defendant Maria Garcia ("Defendant") removed it improperly.

On November 5, 2012, Defendant, having been sued in what appears to be a routine unlawful detainer action in California state court, lodged a Notice of Removal of that action to this Court and also presented an application to proceed in forma pauperis. The Court has denied the latter application under separate cover because the action was not properly removed. To prevent the action from remaining in jurisdictional limbo, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to state court.

Simply stated, Plaintiff could not have brought this action in federal court in the first place, and Defendant does not competently allege facts supplying either diversity or federal-question jurisdiction. Therefore, removal is improper. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); see Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Allapattah Svcs., Inc., 545 U.S. 546, 563, 125 S. Ct. 2611, 162 L. Ed. 2d 502 (2005). Even if complete diversity of citizenship exists, the amount in controversy does not exceed the diversity-jurisdiction threshold of \$75,000. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441(b). Nor does Plaintiff's unlawful detainer action raise any federal legal question. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(b).

Defendant is further notified and warned that any subsequent attempts to remove the underlying state unlawful detainer action to this Court will be improper and will constitute vexatious conduct that the Court will address by way of punitive remedial measures, which may include having Defendant designated as a vexatious litigant and barred from commencing any further removal actions with respect to the underlying state unlawful detainer action.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that (1) this matter be REMANDED to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, 6548 Miles Avenue, Huntington Park, California 90255, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c); (2) that the clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state court; and (3) that the clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 5, 2012 flow Meyerson

DEAN PREGERSON ACTING CHIEF JUDGE